IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT **PETITION NO.1779 OF** 2006

Kashinath Krishna Zadane...Petitioner

Vs.

Slum Rehabilitation Authority & Ors.Respondents

Mr. F. Pooniwala i/b. Kanga & Co., for the Petitioner

Mr. G.D. Utangale, for respondent No.1.

Mr. S.A. Sawant, for respondent No.2.

CORAM: **F.I. REBELLO &**

ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATE: 18TH SEPTEMBER 2006

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. The petitioner has been held to be eligible to be provided alternate accommodation in the S.R.A. Scheme. The building has already been constructed. It appears that presently no premises are available in the building constructed under the S.R.A. scheme.

3. On behalf of the Respondent No.1 their learned Counsel makes a statement that they would accommodate the petitioner in a PAP tenement in Jijamata Co-operative Housing Society Ltd, at

Mahalakshmi within two weeks from today. Statement accepted. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

(F.I. REBELLO, J)

(**ANOOP V. MOHTA,J).**